Exercise 9 from WF122

Now that I have my pen back, I would like to design a proof of:

```
(0) [ \mathbf{true} \Rightarrow X \equiv X ],
```

which shows the viability of proving X by strengthening it to **true**, a la:

```
X
\Leftarrow \{ \dots \}
true
```

As in the previous EX , we should strive to rewrite (0) to combine **true** with \equiv , \land , or \lor , because we know properties relating **true** to these.

Thus I calculate:

X

```
\mathbf{true} \Rightarrow X
\equiv \quad \{ \Rightarrow \text{ into } \neg / \lor \} \}
\neg \mathbf{true} \lor X
\equiv \quad \{ \text{ predicate calculus } \}
\mathbf{false} \lor X
\equiv \quad \{ \text{ property of } \mathbf{false} \} \}
X
and:
\mathbf{true} \Rightarrow X
\equiv \quad \{ \Rightarrow \text{ into } \equiv / \land \} \}
\mathbf{true} \equiv \mathbf{true} \land X
\equiv \quad \{ \mathbf{true} \text{ is identity of } \equiv \text{ and } \land \} \}
```

```
EX9-1
```

and:

```
\mathbf{true} \Rightarrow X
\equiv \quad \{ \quad \Rightarrow \text{ into } \equiv / \lor \quad \}
X \equiv X \lor \mathbf{true}
\equiv \quad \{ \quad X \lor \mathbf{true} \equiv \mathbf{true} \quad \}
X \equiv \mathbf{true}
\equiv \quad \{ \quad \text{predicate calculus } \}
X \qquad .
```

What more is there to say?

NYC, 14 November 2009

Jeremy Weissmann jeremy @ mathmeth.com