

A sequel to AvG52a/WF67a

(This note is not self-contained.)

Like in AvG52a/WF67a, we address the problem of constructing a program square satisfying functional specification

$$\{h = [U]\} \text{ square } \{h = [U] \underline{m} [U]\}.$$

Like in AvG52a/WF67a, the solution consists of three steps, dealing with three different concerns: (i) the correctness of the final answer, (ii) the desired in-situity, and (iii) the implementation of the algorithm in terms of the array H.

Unlike in AvG52a/WF67a, we shall not require that h remains a ring. The resulting program will be equally efficient, much shorter in text, but require a slightly more complicated correctness argument. The existence of such a solution was first pointed out to us by Jaap van der Woude.

(i) Again we choose

$$P: \quad h \underline{m} [pX] = [U] \underline{m} [U]$$

as an invariant. This time we decide to shrink X by one element at a time. The first version then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h = [U]\} \\ & p, X: pX = U \quad \{P\} \\ & \text{; do } X \neq \text{empty} \\ & \quad \rightarrow q, Y: qY = X \\ & \quad \{ \text{hence we have in combination with } P: \end{aligned}$$

$h \underline{m} [pq, Y] = [U] \underline{m} [U]$, or
 - using the one-pivot rule from ring
 calculus, pivot q -

$h \underline{m} [pq] \underline{m} [q, Y] = [U] \underline{m} [U]$, so that
 the statements }

∴ $h := h \underline{m} [pq]$
 ∴ $p, X := q, Y$
 {reestablish P }

od

{ $X = \text{empty} \wedge P$, hence
 $h \underline{m} [p] = [U] \underline{m} [U]$, so that }

∴ $h := h \underline{m} [p]$
 {establishes $h = [U] \underline{m} [U]$ }

(ii) The desired in-situity is based on the
 invariance of

$Q: Q_0 \vee Q_1$

where Q_0 and Q_1 are given by

$Q_0: h = [FpGX]$

$Q_1: h = [Fp] \underline{m} [GX]$.

The condition Q will inherit its truth alternatingly
 from Q_0 and Q_1 , to start with from Q_0 by
 the initialization $F, G := \text{empty}, \text{empty}$. For
 later purposes we shall show separately how a
 step of the repetition transforms the truth of Q_0
 into the truth of Q_1 and vice versa.

{ $h = [U]$ }

$p, X: pX = U$

∴ $F, G := \text{empty}, \text{empty}$

{ Q_0 , hence Q }

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{; } \underline{\text{do}} \ X \neq \text{empty} \\
 \rightarrow \\
 \{Q0\} \qquad \qquad \qquad \{Q1\} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad q, Y: qY = X \\
 \{ \text{hence} \qquad \qquad \qquad \{ \text{hence} \\
 h = [Fp Gq Y] \} \qquad \qquad h = [Fp] \underline{m} [Gq Y] \} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{; } h := h \underline{m} [pq] \\
 \{ \text{hence} \qquad \qquad \qquad \{ \text{hence} \\
 h = [Fp Gq Y] \underline{m} [pq], \qquad h = [Fp] \underline{m} [Gq Y] \underline{m} [pq], \\
 \text{or -ring calculus-} \qquad \qquad \text{or -ring calculus-} \\
 h = [Fp Y] \underline{m} [Gq] \} \qquad \qquad h = [Fp Y Gq] \} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{; } F G := G, Fp \\
 \{ \text{hence} \qquad \qquad \qquad \{ \text{hence} \\
 h = [GY] \underline{m} [Fq] \} \qquad \qquad h = [GY Fq] \} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{; } p, X := q, Y \\
 \{ \text{hence} \qquad \qquad \qquad \{ \text{hence} \\
 h = [GX] \underline{m} [Fp], \qquad \qquad h = [GX Fp], \\
 \text{i.e. } Q1 \} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{i.e. } Q0 \} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \underline{\text{od}} \\
 \text{; } h := h \underline{m} [p]
 \end{array}$$

Note When $Q1$ is established by a $Q0 - Q1$ transition, sequence $G \neq \text{empty}$, so that the expression $[GX]$ occurring in $Q1$ is a legal expression.

(End of Note.)

(iii) In this last step we are concerned with the elimination of the thought variables, in particular with the elimination of the guard $X \neq \text{empty}$ and the computation of q , the first element of a nonempty X .

Guided by Q_0 we would propose

$$L_0: q = \text{first.}(XFp) \quad \wedge \quad r = \text{first.}(Fp).$$

Guided by Q_1 we would propose

$$L_1: q = \text{first.}(XG) \quad \wedge \quad r = \text{first.}(GX)$$

Since we are not able to abstract from the differences between the expressions L_0 and L_1 , we choose to maintain

$$L: L_0 \vee L_1.$$

Like Q , L will inherit its truth alternatingly from L_0 and L_1 , to start with from L_0 by the initialization $q, r := H.p, p$ (from Q_0 and the representational convention). Both in case L_0 and in case L_1 the guard $X \neq \text{empty}$ is expressed by $q \neq r$, and in both cases the statement $q.Y: qY = X$ is a skip.

The invariance of L is achieved by

$$\{L_0\} \quad \{L_1 \text{ and } X = qY\}$$

$$; h := h \underline{m} [pq]$$

$$\{h = [FpY] \underline{m} [Gq],$$

from the previous version,
and $r = \text{first.}(Fp)$,
from $L_0\}$

$$\{h = [FpY Gq],$$

from the previous version,
and $r = \text{first.}(GX)$,
from L_1 , i.e. $r = \text{first.}(GqY)\}$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \{q = \text{first.}(YFp) \\
 \wedge r = \text{first.}(FpY)\} \\
 \quad \vdots q := H.p \\
 \quad \vdots F, G := G, Fp \\
 \{q = \text{first.}(YG) \\
 \wedge r = \text{first.}(GY)\} \\
 \quad \vdots p, X := q, Y \\
 \{L1\}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 \{q = \text{first.}(YGq) \\
 \wedge r = \text{first.}(Gq)\} \\
 \quad \vdots q = \text{first.}(YFq) \\
 \quad \wedge r = \text{first.}(Fq)\} \\
 \{L0\}
 \end{array}$$

The ultimate program text is

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \{p = \text{any element of the ring to be squared}\} \\
 q, r := H.p, p \\
 \vdots \underline{\text{do}} q \neq r \\
 \quad \rightarrow H: \text{swap}(p, q) \\
 \quad \vdots q := H.p \vdots p := q \\
 \underline{\text{od.}}
 \end{array}$$

Eindhoven,
24 September 1985

A.J.M. van Gasteren,
W.H.J. Feijen